

Stewart School of Industrial & Systems Engineering

Urban Large-Item Logistics with Hyperconnected Fulfillment and Transportation

June 19-21, 2018 5th International Physical Internet Conference

Nayeon Kim^{1,2}, Nitish Kholgade^{1,2} & Benoit Montreuil^{1,2,3,4}

1. H. Milton Stewart School of Industrial & Systems Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology

2. Physical Internet Center

3. Supply Chain & Logistics Institute

4. Coca-Cola Chair in Material Handling and Distribution

Corresponding author: nkim97@gatech.edu

Contents

Introduction

- Last Mile Delivery of Large Items in Urban Area
- Transformation towards Hyperconnected System: Fulfillment& Transportation

Methodology

- Scenario Design
- □ Agent-based Simulation Testbed
- □ Key Performance Indices (KPI)
- Experimental Results
- Conclusion

□ Future Study



Last Mile Delivery of Large Items in Urban Area







□ Last-mile Delivery

- □ Very last fulfillment, transportation, and delivery to ultimate customer location
- □ The most expensive logistic operations
- Directly related to customer experience
- **City Logistics**
 - Growing needs and concerns owing to urbanization and customer expectation on faster, punctual delivery
 - Considerable social and environmental impact in urban area e.g. traffic congestion, air pollution
- Traditional solution approaches: Routing, Urban Consolidation Center (UCC), Regulations (time, type of vehicles)

□ Furniture and Large Appliances

Large, heavy item dimensions: Limited number of stops in one route

Often requires white-glove services (Delivery and install at delivery location)



Transformation towards Hyperconnected System

Hyperconnected city logistics system can be a future alternative for efficient, environmentally friendly city logistics system capable of meeting evolving customer needs



Dedicated Fulfillment & Transportation



Hyperconnected

Openly-shared Fulfillment & Transportation





Hyperconnected Fulfillment

Center

Fulfillment with increased capability through unrestricted access to and dynamic inventory deployment over an open network of fulfillment facilities on demand



5

Hyperconnected Fulfillment

Dedicated Fulfillment
 Network is optimized for each player
 Not a Globally Optimal Network

Hyperconnected Fulfillment

Network Efficiency

□Increase proximity to demand locations

Consolidate shipments from a fulfillment center (FC) to nearby demand locations

□ Re-optimization: pooled demand can justify a new facility in an under-served area Facility Efficiency

□Improve capacity utilization of the FCs



Hyperconnected Fulfillment



Hyperconnected Transportation (Last-mile)

Multi-player routing/shipping modularized by region and by layer through utilization of a network of various types of PI hubs enabling dynamic and broad range of flow consolidation



Last-mile Dedicated Transportation



Last-mile Hyperconnected Transportation (2-tier)^[1]

✓ Fulfillment center ■ PI-hub ○ Demand location → Dedicated route → Tier1 route ---- Tier2 route with type 1 and 2



Hyperconnected Transportation (Last-mile)

Enable dynamic consolidation among anonymous players at PI hubs
 Increase fillrate and decrease empty miles
 Increase shipping frequency without efficiency trade-off

Enable specialized route through modularization
Physical modularization

Divide a long route into multiple short routes

- □ Flexible routing and dynamic consolidation
- Dedicate driver in a limited area
- □ Specialize to a limited area such as historical city center
- **Functional modularization**
- Divide a route into multiple routes in hierarchy

Obtain flexibility with respect to transportation mode, time, driver specialty etc.



Last-mile Hyperconnected Transportation (2-tier)





Scenario Design

Represent gradual transformation from dedicated to hyperconnected along each thread of fulfillment and delivery

Scenario ID	Scenario Type		Operation Type			
	Fulfillment	Transportation	Peri-urban Fulfillment Center (PF)	Intra-city Fulfillment Center (IF)	Shared Intra-city Hub (SIH)	Delivery
1	Dedicated	Dedicated	Dedicated	-	-	Dedicated
2	Hyperconnected	Openly-shared	Openly-shared	-	-	Openly-shared
3			Dedicated	Openly-shared	-	Openly-shared from SIF
4			Openly-shared	Openly-shared	-	Openly-shared
5	Dedicated	Hyperconnected	Dedicated	-	Single	Hyperconnected
6			Dedicated	-	Web	Hyperconnected
7	Hyperconnected	Hyperconnected	Openly-shared	_	Single	Hyperconnected
8			Openly-shared	_	Web	Hyperconnected

Georgia Tech Center

Scenario Design



- Delivery location colored by each retailer 📐 Retailer-owned peri-urban fulfillment centers colored by each retailer (DPF)
 - Intra-city open hub (SIH) 📐 Openly-shared peri-urban fulfillment center (SPF) 🕨 Openly-shared intra-City Fulfillment center (SIF)
- -> Delivery route colored by each retailer if dedicated delivery or by black if shared delivery



Agent-based Simulation Testbed

Define scope of simulation, key decision makers, and key operations







Agent-based Simulation Testbed

lech

Center

Each scenario runs for 2.5 years where first 0.5 year is warm-up period



12

Key Performance Indices (KPIs)

□Key performance indices (KPIs) need to cover economical, environmental, and social efficiency and sustainability

DEconomic impacts

□ Total induced cost (fuel, labor, equipment ...)

Daily travel miles

Daily labor hours

□ Fuel consumptions

□Service level capability

Delays with respect to time window

DEnvironmental impacts

Greenhouse gas emission (CO₂, SO₂, NO₂)

Emission of fine particles (PM2.5)

Experimental Results: Economic Impact



Georgia Physical Internet Tech Center



Experimental Results: Economic Impact



Image: Non-AmbridaImage: Non-AmbridaImage: Non-AmbridaImage: Non-Ambrida1. SPFs6. SIHs8. SPFs & SIHs

- All the economical KPIs are improved significantly with hyperconnected system (~50% reduction in travel miles/fuel consumptions)
- Hyperconnected fulfillment or transportation solely can achieve about 60% of improvement of hyperconnected fulfillment AND transportation system
- Reduction in labor hour is limited due to fixed labor hour for delivery and installment
- Reduction in fuel consumption exceeds the reduction in travel mile with hyperconnected delivery due to the ability of utilizing smaller and more environmentally friendly vehicles in tier 2





Experimental Results: Service-level Capability



> Both delay time and frequency can be reduced significantly especially with hyperconnected transportation



Experimental Results: Environmental Impact



Similar to economic KPIs, greenhouse/toxic gas and fine particle emission rates are reduced significantly with hyperconnected fulfillment and/or transportation

Georgia Tech Center

Conclusion

- ✓ Just openly-sharing existing peri-urban FCs can significantly improve last-mile operations especially when they are widely spread
- ✓ It is not necessary to build a fulfillment center in the expensive city area to improve last-mile logistics;
 - However, single intra-city PI hub can bring significant improvement
- ✓ Web of PI hubs can improve last-mile logistics operated with openly-shared FCs;
 - Use of smaller delivery vehicle for last-mile can further improve congestion and reduce negative environmental impacts
- ✓ Demonstrate the capability and effectiveness of simulation-based scenario analysis for research in Physical Internet



Future Study (ongoing)

Study the scenarios in a variety of contexts e.g. city topologies, demand patterns, facility locations

Investigate the potential of further improvement by separating and synchronizing delivery operation and white-glove services



Thank you 😳

Questions, Comments, and Discussions!





Reference

- Photos (page 3)
 - https://www.upi.com/Top News/World-News/2016/12/16/Beijing-air-polluti on-prompts-red-alert-closes-schools/2431481901869/
 - http://www.gothamgazette.com/city/130-opinion/128-free-buses-cheaper-su bways-and-a-solution-to-new-yorks-traffic
 - http://www.stidelivers.com/services/specialtran/ResidentialDelivery.aspx
- Page 7
 - Crainic T. G., Montreuil B. (2016): Physical Internet Enabled Interconnected City Logistics, Proceedings of 9th International Conference on City Logistics, Tenerife, Spain, Transportation Research Procedia 12, 383-398.

